

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICA	TION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/0	54,064	06/06/2002	Shoichi Sawa	086142-0521	3161	
2242	3 7590	0 07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
	FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500			SMITH, KIMBERLY S		
	3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WA	SHINGTON,	, DC 20007		3644		
				DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/064,064	SAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kimberly S Smith	3644	I MU

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ıed
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP 706.07(f).	PEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate of fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office act (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	g the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amended canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other: MICHAEL J. CARCOE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants traversal of the inherency of the projections extending only partially around the periphery is not found persuasive. As can clearly be seen in Figure 6 of White, there are three distinct projections about the periphery of the device lying within a common plane. As these projections clearly are not extending around the entire periphery of the device, it is considered inherent that the projections extend only partially around the periphery of the device. Further, if the projection did extend around the entire periphery, the device would not be capabale of performing its intended function, i.e. insertion into area 6 for locking the device in place. As such, it is maintained that White inherently discloses the projections extend only partially around the periphery. The Applicant's statement that the White reference does not disclose, teach or suggest "a guide groove for receiving the projection" or a "key groove" is also not found persuasive. As can best be seen in Figure 4, White in fact discloses a guide groove for receiving the projection (at 6) and a key groove for maintaining the projection (i.e. the upturned portion in which the projection sits).